

LBH Grants Recommendations 2023/24

LBH Community Chest Grants Panel 1 Recommendations

Table 1 - recommended applications

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Ozer Umagen	This project will bring together 14 learning disabled people to meet up weekly to prepare 200 food packages to provide vital support people struggling viciously due to the cost of living crisis.	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend</p> <p>Assessors felt that this project was particularly strong in terms of addressing needs during the Cost of Living Crisis. The beneficiaries were two-fold - the people receiving the food parcels alongside the young volunteers. Assessors felt that this was a good opportunity for disabled young people to get work experience. The organisation seemed well placed to deliver the project and questions were answered strongly. The programme aligned well with the grants priorities.</p>
2	Boil and Bubble CIC	This project will turn Jubilee Primary School's Community Centre into a Cafe for four days. We will run a series of four cooking workshops for around 80 children, families and community members and then invite local community groups to come and share the	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend</p> <p>This was a strong application that involved beneficiaries throughout. The risks, project management, and budget were all very clear and the project would have a clear and positive impact on beneficiaries.</p>

3	Young Rotters C.I.C.	Queer Compost Club	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a very well written application and the project had really taken on board feedback from beneficiaries to shape the programme. The project is a good way of supporting the mental health needs of young people in the LGBTQi+ community and also had good impacts environmentally as well.</p>
4	Mind Axis C.I.C.	<p>“Spill the Tea” sessions empower young people with BME backgrounds to achieve mental wellness together, over a cup of tea. Participants connect during sessions through group discussions and enjoyable activities associated with improved mental health.</p>	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend (dependent on answers to clarifying questions)</p> <p>Assessors thought the concept of this project was an excellent idea and strongly support the idea and beneficiary group who often get overlooked. The organisation seemed well placed to deliver the project and clearly cared about success and beneficiary involvement. There were two concerns that assessors raised that they would like to be addressed before awarding funding. If these concerns are not addressed adequately it has been agreed to decline them on this occasion but encourage them to apply again in future as the project is not until October.</p> <p>Questions to ask:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How will you ensure you are targeting at least 80% Hackney beneficiaries? • Please could you provide some more detail and clarity around your costings?
5	Canalside Residents' Association	Canalside Street Party - Celebration of a Neighbourhood	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend</p> <p>Assessors thought this was a good idea but felt that more</p>

				<p>detail could have been given on the longer-term benefits of the programme. As this is the first event post-covid, it was felt that perhaps this could be built into future applications. Overall the project seemed like a run way to bring residents together and supporting a group of residents generally not supported through other council activities.</p>
6	Hair & Care C.I.C	Hair we go Hackney! will run 6 courses over a 9 month period, teaching not only visually impaired women but also disabled and vulnerable women and girls in Hackney the basics of hair care and styling. These workshops will build confidence, support their i	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend</p> <p>Assessors were very supportive of this project and felt that it was a really good concept supporting a group who would really benefit. The application could have benefitted from more clarity around how they were targeting Hackney beneficiaries and also more about specific roles and responsibilities.</p>
7	The Tree Circle Cinema c/o Millfields Users Group	The Tree Circle Cinema returns! Free outdoor film for all in Millfields Park.	£1,000.00	<p>Recommend</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a very well-written application, with project management and risk sections being very clear. The organisation have a good track record and show a commitment to feedback and learning in their application. The range of activities on offer would attract a diverse audience and demonstrated a clear link to the grant programme aims.</p>

Table 2 - not recommended applications

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Cordwainers Grow CIC	Let the games begin	£770.00	<p>Do Not Recommend</p> <p>Assessors had quite a few questions around this project and felt that it was not as strong in comparison to the others - particularly in terms of risks and beneficiary involvement. Although the project could have very positive impacts on the community, assessors would have liked some more detail throughout the application and felt that there was not enough information given in order to fund this. As the project is not taking place until October, assessors felt that this organisation could get feedback on their application and apply again in the next round.</p>
2	N16 Coffee Club	N16 coffee club - setup infrastructure & marketing locally.	£959.70	<p>Do Not Recommend</p> <p>This was a well-written application, but assessors were not sure of the true community benefit of the project. It was strong in terms of building awareness of local businesses and encouraging spend in the local economy, but the wider community benefits were unclear and it was felt that people really in need would not see any benefit from this project, which would exclude lower income beneficiaries because of the cost aspect. It was also unclear how this would bring communities together.</p>

3	Hackney Proms	Concert for peace – a collaboration with community choir the Victoria Park Singers, together with Hannah Brine, professional string quartet, guitar and piano to accompany Benny Lee’s Requiem with songs by Hannah Brine and string quartet works.	£1,000.00	<p>Do Not Recommend</p> <p>Although assessors liked the idea of this project, they had some concerns around community benefit and beneficiary reach. Although the concept of means-testing ticket prices was understood, the application should have had more detail about how this would work in practice and also how much tickets would cost. The organisation seem to be making attempts to diversify their audience through bringing in different music styles, but it was unclear how they would specifically target diverse sections of the community and therefore assessors were not convinced of the wider community benefit of this project. Beneficiary involvement was minimum and assessors felt that this was not a priority application given the cost of living crisis.</p>
---	---------------	---	-----------	---

LBH PG & HH Grants Panel Recommendations

Table 1 - recommended applications

Healthier Hackney:

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Beis Brucha Ltd	Postnatal Health, Exercise and Fitness	£20,000.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 8/5)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this application was good at bringing exercise to an important target group (Charedi Women). Some questions were asked around the budget, which were clarified,</p>

				<p>but this organisation will probably need lots of support if funded. Some due diligence concerns were also raised by the Grants Team.</p> <p>This application has been recommended on the proviso that the organisation is able to address the due diligence concerns raised by the Grants Team.</p>
2	Beis Chana Ltd	Moving Moments- Finding ways to include more movement in daily life.	£7,700.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 14/1)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this application was supporting a group that has a lot of barriers to physical activity. There was a good engagement proposal, strong partnerships, very community-led and with lived experiences. They felt that this aligned very strongly with the aims of the HH Grant.</p>
3	Disability Sports Coach	We'll enhance lives by bringing disabled people together at our inclusive Community Club each week, improving physical and mental health.	£18,036.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 15/0)</p> <p>Assessors felt that the focus on mental health and physical health for disabilities was welcome. A range of sports were being delivered and the organisation seemed open to diversity and well addressed staying active beyond the project. Good expertise and track record. It was noted that the organisation is not Hackney-based but are already active in the borough.</p>
4	Seniors Health and Active Retirement Project	Physical activity has a proven effect on mental and physical wellbeing. By targeting people close to their homes and offering more than just an exercise class, ie. opportunities for community involvement, social events and interaction, and fun, we want to	£12,000.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 13/2)</p> <p>This organisation has been delivering in Hackney for a long time. One of the strengths of this application was that they are thinking about reaching beyond where they are currently and are partnering up with other organisations such as Shoreditch Trust. It was noted that some funding is still to be secured so will need to clarify this with the group when issuing the Grant.</p>
5	SONshine Club	Healthier Together - Helping kids with SEND, and their Mums, access exercise for improved wellbeing and relationships	£20,000.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 12/2)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a thorough application, showing good use of stakeholders and connections. The project is specifically targeting Boys in the CHaredi community. The organisation were asked if they could also support Girls which they said they could do although it was felt that perhaps it was</p>

				better for the organisation to stick to their strengths, particularly as there are other organisations working specifically with girls from the Charedi community.
6	TIKVA	A broad programme of physical activity for ageing parent/carers of their disabled adult children to ensure they become and remain fit and well	£18,280.00	Recommended (votes: 12/2) Assessors felt that this was a strong application in terms of focus and beneficiary group (adult disabled children and their carers), with a good range of activities and an organisation with a strong track record. It was noted that match funding for this project was pending and therefore a further discussion about this would be needed when issuing funding.
7	Tripldeas CIC	Supple Balance Yoga: A year long programme of strength and balance yoga classes for +55yrs across Hackney Lunch Clubs inclusive of age-well education, self-practice diaries & documentary on participants' perspective of "What wellbeing means to them'	£19,995.00	Recommended (votes: 13/0) Assessors all agreed this was a strong application with a good focus on the bigger picture as well as showing the benefits for this age group were well understood by the applicant.
8	MISGAV	Holistic Health & Life Skills project for 20 learning disabled women delivered through weekly sessions (6.75 hours of programming per week, 132 sessions pa): healthy & cost-effective cooking, gardening and swimming to ease some of the impact of the cost o	£20,000.00	Recommended (votes: 8/3) Assessors felt that this was a strong application which was very thorough and showed respite for carers. Some clarifying questions were asked which were all answered well. It was suggested that due to the Physical Activity elements, this project could be funded via the Healthier Hackney budget, however, it was deemed to not be strong enough value for money by the Public Health team. This project will be funded 50:50 between the Project Grants & Healthier Hackney budget.

9	Community Centre for Refugees from Vietnam, Laos & Cambodia	Back On Your Feet - activities to encourage physical & mental wellbeing, empower the Southeast Asian and wider community	£7,094.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 8/4)</p> <p>This was a strong application to a key target group of older SE Asian beneficiaries who were not represented elsewhere in the grants programme. It is clearly user-led and was strong throughout.</p> <p>Due to the Physical Activity elements, this project will be funded via the Healthier Hackney budget.</p>
---	---	--	-----------	---

Project Grants

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Innercity Films	Dalstons Black Heritage	£10,500.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 14/0)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a strong application in most areas and any areas where assessors asked for clarifying questions received strong responses. The project has a good and inclusive reach and was well thought-through.</p>
2	EFA London	'ESOL for Work' programme support migrant women in Hackney into gainful employment	£19,877.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 8/3)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a particularly strong project, teaching ESOL through the lens of employment and employment rights. The application was strong throughout and the organisation has a good track record. It was queried if this group is getting funded elsewhere by the council - this was not indicated in their application.</p>
3	Koach Parenting	Spreading and sustaining The Solihull Parenting Approach through parenting coaching and training of professionals	£18,990.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 8/3)</p> <p>This was a strong application, supporting a key beneficiary group (Charedi parents) and the organisation displayed a good track record. The project showed good value for money, strong partnerships, and also upskilling within the community.</p>

4	Shepherdfold Ministry	Parents Involvement Programme: Weekly workshops, group mentoring, and community activities to empower low-income families, teenage and single parents. Beneficiaries will develop parenting skills, improve relationships with their pre-	£14,076.00	Recommended (votes: 13/1) This application was the only one shortlisted in the Being Safe category. The application was well written and showed good beneficiary involvement following research. The risks were well assessed with detailed finances, good partners and strong track record. This organisation is already funded through a Community Infrastructure Grant but it was felt that this application was very different to the provision they are providing through that.
5	Migrants Organise Ltd	Community of Care: Wellbeing and Resilience for Refugees and Asylum Seekers Living in Hackney	£15,766.00	Recommended (votes: 11/0) This was a very strong application, showing a holistic approach with excellent use of volunteers and it was clear the organisation was well placed to support users and with a good track record.
6	Immediate Theatre	A theatre performance created and performed by active older people (65+) touring to lunch/social clubs and sheltered accommodation providing much needed entertainment for vulnerable older people and offering them opportunities to explore their creativity.	£11,000.00	Recommended (vote: 10/3) Assessors felt that this was a very strong application which was good value for money considering the ask was small. The organisation has a track record of work in the borough and the beneficiary group was strong. This organisation does already receive a Community Infrastructure Grant but it was felt that this application should still be supported.
7	East London Out Project	LGBTQ+ Health and Wellbeing Activity Project	£19,820.00	Recommended (votes: 8/4) This application shows a good focus on beneficiary groups, was well targeted and the organisation has a good track record. It was clear how important it was that they receive the funding. There were some questions on the budget but these were addressed by the group.
8	Hackney Doorways	Hackney Night Shelter 2023-4 Routes out of Homelessness	£20,000.00	Recommended (votes: 6/5) Assessors felt that this application showed an organisation with a good track record. They were clear that there is increased need and this project will aim to address this, with focus on the

				newly homeless. Assessors did feel that this was perhaps more core rather than project funding (which was a concern also raised last year), which is why the vote was very close. Ultimately it was felt that the need was high for this group so it was funded.
9	Rise Community Action	Ubuntu Health and Wellbeing Project - supporting women who have experienced FGM, Domestic Violence and/or living with HIV. The project will aim to improve the physical, emotional health and wellbeing of its participants, reduce isolation and improve self	£19,926.00	Recommended (votes: 9/3) Assessors felt that this was a very specialist and user-led service supporting individuals who are particularly vulnerable. The budget was clear and the application was generally a good application. Any clarifying questions were answered.
10	[Foreign Affairs]	This application is to support two FREE theatre-skills and wellbeing workshop programmes for young people (18-30) living in Hackney from culturally diverse backgrounds (first and second generation migrants and diaspora).	£11,350.00	Recommended for reserves list (1st reserve) (votes: 5/4) This project is working with older young people (18-30). Assessors felt that it was a strong idea, and a good concept. The organisation is small but does have a track record and is user led. There were a few questions on practicalities and this application was not as strong as some of the others looked at during the panel today. In the unlikely event that there is any underspend identified through the funding, it is recommended that this is funded first on the reserves list.

Table 2 - not recommended applications

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Mountford Growing Community	This project will build belonging & community cohesion through the planting of plants that link us with the natural world, our cultures & heritages in an intergenerational garden	£20,000.00	- Beneficiaries and risk sections were good but assessors felt that this project would have limited reach. It was unclear exactly why this project was needed and it was felt that there were a lot of elements still left to plan. Some costs such as project management seemed disproportionately high.

		classroom for children & residents in the shared spaces of Mountford Estate		
2	Turning Corners	Safe place and Breathing Space	£14,576.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application was quite confusing throughout. - It would have been good to see why they are best placed to deliver the work and how they will be selecting their beneficiaries.
3	MARS Org. Ltd	MARS would like to launch a Health and Wellbeing Awareness Initiative within the community.	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application had good elements but there was not enough info about track record and how their project will involve beneficiaries. - It was unclear how success would be measured and there were also some elements such as how it would involved accredited NHS professionals that were not addressed.
4	Hackney Tennis	Gestalt-Tennis: an innovative beginners' tennis course for inactive and less active Hackney residents. The course combines mindful breathing and self-awareness to maximise the physical, mental and social benefits of tennis.	£16,123.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessors felt that this application would not be reaching beneficiaries furthest away from physical activity and had some concerns about the sustainability of the project given that there would be ongoing costs attached and the level of engagement seemed unrealistic.
5	The Raze Collective	Raze Collective presents Queer Winter Fayre 2023 & Queer Summer Fayre 2024. Queer Fayre is a day of cultural activity including workshops, market, crafting & performance, aimed at strengthening community cohesion between LGBTQIA+ people & local families.	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This was a well-written application but some of the outcomes did not seem realistic given the short time-scales (one day events) and therefore the impact of this project did not seem as strong as some of the others assessed.
6	The Reanella Trust	The Resilience Recovery Relief Project	£9,120.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application seemed to lack local focus and knowledge. Although some parts were very clear, others such as how achievement would be measured, were not. It was also lacking details on workshops and beneficiaries. - Organisation working exclusively with younger people and therefore should have applied for CYP fund.

7	Hackney City Farm Limited	Creative fine art classes for adults by Hackney Fine Arts at Hackney City Farm.	£13,775.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good project idea but main concern was lack of lived experience in the staff. - No clear benchmarks for success - very generic outcomes. - Nothing about previous track record or feedback from current project. <p>Lack of detail in the budget and how volunteers would be involved to justify the volunteer costs and numbers.</p>
8	Fashion for Future	We aim to hold a two-week-long free clothing exchange pop up in a disused retail space (meanwhile space) once every two months (consisting of workshops, talks, and events) or a clothing exchange event with free mending or upcycling workshops every month.	£15,312.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good concept but overall the proposal was confusing and lacking in detail throughout so difficult for assessors to support this. - The budget especially needed more clarity.
9	Intercultural Roots for Public Health	Creative Health in Hackney	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application showed what they wanted to deliver but not how and why - Unclear how participants would be selected, what success looks like etc. and generally lacking in detail throughout.
10	Grassroots for Good	Grassroots Renew	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application was lacking in detail throughout and in particular around risks, budget, and their beneficiary group. It was unclear how success would be measured.
11	Shapeshifter Productions	Participatory fun and engaging group singing activities called “The Smiling Sessions” aiming to improve health, well-being, cognitive function and happiness while reducing depression, anxiety, stress and isolation	£19,800.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessors felt that this was a good idea but that the concept did not align to the Healthier Hackney programme in terms of physical activity and may have fared better applying for a generic Project Grant with a mental health focus.
12	St Mary of Eton with St Augustine Hackney Wick	Feel Good Friday, developed in response to local needs aims to improve wellbeing by offering a range of free, local opportunities to connect,	£17,800.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application was well-written but assessors felt that it was duplicating a lot of similar projects that already exist and there were also some questions around value for money.

		participate in safe, exercise activity targeting the most inactive, enjoy a healthy community meal and find out		
13	Core Clapton	This project provides cost-effective pain management utilising osteopathy to patients whose access to care has been limited by an overburdened NHS and the cost-of-living crisis.	£20,000.00	- This project did not seem well-aligned to the programme aims and did not include any physical activity elements as was more focused on 1-2-1 osteopathy.
14	London Gypsies and Travellers	Our project aims to give direct support to Gypsy, Roma and Traveller families living in temporary accommodation and to raise awareness of the experiences and detrimental impact of TA on these communities.	£19,993.00	- Community very much in need and very underfunded and underserved and this organisation would be well placed to support. - However, this application seems to be continuation funding primarily for their advice service and other core funding - a distinct project was not clear.
15	CLAPTON SUPPORT AND ADVICE	Clapton Training- Courses designed for OJ men aged 18+to help them gain in demand skills and open up further job opportunities	£18,650.00	- Clear that courses of this type would be beneficial and thought about measuring success. - However lots of the practical elements of the project were not covered in the application and a lot more planning would be needed in order to consider this for funding.
16	FRIENDS OF ZICHRON DOVID	Loaves of Love	£20,000.00	- Assessors felt that this could have been a very strong project to support very isolated women in the Orthodox Jewish Community, but the application was generally lacking in detail throughout and particularly weak proportionally as they were asking for the full amount of funding.
17	FITFORLIFE CIC	GET MOVING: GETTING OUT AND ABOUT	£15,000.00	- This organisation have applied for more than they are eligible for. - Their answers generally were very limited. There was a lack of evidence or detail to highlight the need or what they were trying to do with the project.
18	Holistic Support Ltd	Confidence Academy For Mums	£20,000.00	- This application had a good concept but the execution of the application was not strong, with detail lacking throughout. It also made a number of assumptions about the beneficiary group

				without backing these up with previous track record or external evidence.
19	Local Champions CIC	Local Champion's Social Entrepreneurs Ventures Lab	£12,000.00	- This application was very formal and business-like and therefore answered some elements well such as project management and risk, but what they wanted to achieve and why this was needed by communities in Hackney was far less clear.
20	The Adult Literacy Trust	READING COACHES FOR ADULTS HACKNEY 2023 - Adult Literacy Trust provides one-to-one support for disadvantaged adults in Hackney to improve their reading skills and confidence, utilising specially trained volunteer Reading Coaches.	£19,690.00	- This application did not articulate clearly the needs of their beneficiaries and how they were best placed to support them. The application was lacking in detail throughout and did not give assessors a clear sense of what would be achieved and how.
21	New Unity CIO	Radical ideas for a radical tomorrow: culture, education and community at the heart of Newington Green	£20,000.00	- Assessors liked the idea of this application but felt that it had restricted reach and was generally lacking evidence. - Not clear how success will be measured and application felt more core rather than project funding,
22	Pretty Pleats Ltd	Development & implementation of a Hardship Fund and its systems, to relieve poverty for disadvantaged families across the Orthodox Jewish community.	£6,710.00	- This application was well written and evidence of need was strong, but assessors were confused by some elements of the programme. They were unsure if the programme was providing immediate poverty relief or a longer-term way out of poverty for individuals, and were unsure how the advice element fitted into the overall programme. There were also concerns about the eligibility of certain costs - e.g. the hardship fund.
23	Acheinu Cancer Support	Family Support Care Package	£19,000.00	- This was a good project with high needs but assessors did not see anything uniquely different about it and it seemed to be replicating the work of statutory services and other similar organisations. They could have articulated the need within their specific beneficiary group better to show how they were meeting the needs of a specific community.

24	Kings Crescent Tenants and Residents Association	A twice weekly Pilates Class engaging BAME women over 50 with age related health conditions which could be much improved through Pilates.	£13,900.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There were some good ideas in this project but the application was lacking in places, particularly around budget and risk. - Exercise did not seem inclusive - said could change to each audience, but it did not seem that adaptable
25	Alexander Whitley Dance Company	Digital Body Festival, a new event for Hackney in November 2023 at Studio 9294 in Wallis Road, exploring digital art, dance & the body	£8,650.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessors really liked the concept but were left with a lot of questions about how it would work in practice and how it would meet the priorities. Evidence could have been stronger.
26	Okra Studio CIC	Sky Farm Community Nursery & open space program 2023	£19,700.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This project had some good ideas and a strong partnership angle, although this did not feel like a natural partnership and felt rather like outsourcing at times - which made the project expensive, particularly as it was only for a 6-month period.
27	Badu Community CIC	BADU - Artist vs Industry	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Org working with young people and should have applied for CYP grant. - Good concept but application lacking in places - particularly around risk and budget.
28	Hawa Trust Ltd	African dancing exercises and healthy food classes for black Muslim women in Hackney	£19,960.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This idea had an interesting and unusual concept but it was unclear if the activities had been genuinely co-produced. - There were a lot of parts of the application that were unclear and there were too many questions for assessors to be able to support at this stage.
29	4DGIMUSIC CIC	4DGI Health is Wealth	£10,000.00	<p>Not Recommended (votes: 0/15)</p> <p>Assessors had been split on whether to put this one through and felt that overall it was not a very strong application. In addition to this, the organisation have applied for the wrong fund (they should have applied for HH - CYP) and also failed their eligibility check as their income is less than £10k. Therefore this application was not recommended.</p>
30	Key Changes: Positive Mental	Studio Discovery: Making music - Hackney	£14,600.00	<p>Not Recommended (votes: 9/5)</p> <p>Assessors had been split on whether or not to recommend this application. Although they felt that the idea was strong and</p>

	Health through Music Ltd			interesting, and could see the need in the beneficiary group, there were some concerns raised within the application itself including the fact that beneficiaries had to travel out of borough for the provision. The application was much weaker than others.
31	The Leaside Trust	Leaside Volunteering Programme Development	£19,150.00	Not Recommended (votes: 1/13) This application was the only one shortlisted in the category “Making a Positive Contribution”. Assessors had been split on whether or not to recommend this application. Generally the application was weak, broad and vague. They felt that the organisation itself really needed the funding but the application was not strong enough and despite lots of clarifying questions being asked there were still concerns.
32	Made In Hackney	Cooking for Life: Improving health and wellbeing in Hackney through empowering cookery classes	£19,990.00	Not Recommended (votes: 7/4) This application was well thought out with good beneficiary targets. However, whilst this was a strong application it was noted that not only do this organisation receives core funding through their CI grant, but that also quite a few other departments within the council are funding similar programmes so this was seen as less of a priority for funding.
33	Duckie Ltd	The Posh Club, Hackney	£20,000.00	Not Recommended (votes: 2/10) Assessors felt that this was an established group who submitted a strong application. The need was very specific, although assessors were unclear of the reach beyond their pre-existing beneficiary group. Assessors did query whether this was project or core funding, which was answered by the group. Although the application itself was very strong, assessors felt that this was not as high a priority as some of the other applications submitted.
34	Social Material CIC	Green Summer School- picnics, photography & outdoor activities for adults with high support needs in mental health	£7,615.00	Not Recommended Although this application was originally recommended by Assessors, the organisation failed the eligibility check for the grant as their income is below £10,000. Therefore, they were not discussed at the panel.

35	Crohns and Colitis Relief (CCR)	We would like to provide support groups for adults suffering from IBD/S. The project plan is to hire a project manager and run monthly groups separately for women and men.	£19,136.00	<p>Not Recommended (votes: 4/9)</p> <p>Although assessors felt that there was a lot of need for this project the organisation has a track record and their ability to do it shone through, other parts of the application were unclear and there were key details missing. Clarifying questions were sought but there were still some key items missing such as referral routes and links with GPs. There also could have been more on sustainability.</p>
36	Volunteer Centre Hackney	We will bring people together across and within communities, to design and deliver joint solutions that address poor health, loneliness and isolation. We will specifically aim to work with residents who have mental health needs, long term health condition	£19,810.00	<p>Not Recommended (votes: 1/7)</p> <p>This was a well written strong application and assessors felt that it should be recommended to the panel as it strongly met the grants criteria throughout. However, as this organisation is well-funded elsewhere within the council, Assessors felt that they would rather support smaller grassroots organisations through this funding and therefore this was less of a priority.</p>
37	Clapton Commons	We want to run a weekly drop-in called "We Welcome" hub for local people, addressing the support needs to those who are impacted by the cost of living - whether this be food, fuel, the rising cost of housing or social isolation.	£19,442.00	<p>Not Recommended (votes: 5/8)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was an ambitious and thought through project, detailed throughout with strong monitoring and evaluation and existing partnerships were very clear. This organisation is already in receipt of a CI grant. This funding would be to expand their provision into another area and provide some extra cost of living crisis specific support. Assessors did question how this met the Identity and Belonging aim. The application was keen to point out partnership working between different sections of the community in the North of the borough (particularly reaching out to the Charedi community), but assessors were unconvinced of their true connections within that community and did question the feasibility of some aspects of the project (such as whether people from the Charedi Community would to to religious buildings such as Churches to access support).</p>

38	Ananda Marga Universal Relief Team (AMURT) UK	Healthy Eating on a budget on two deprived estates in Hackney	£18,453.54	<p>Not Recommended (votes: 3/9) Assessors felt that this was a strong application throughout and did meet the grants criteria but did question if the project was needed considering similar grassroots provision is already in place in some of the areas mentioned within the application. It was felt that perhaps this was not as strong as other projects discussed.</p>
39	True You Today	Workshops for Survivors – Creative spaces to reclaim our bodies and minds	£12,950.00	<p>Not Recommended (was initially recommended for Healthier Hackney fund at votes: 7/4 but as the project was deemed ineligible for that fund it is now Not Recommended)</p> <p>Assessors thought that this was a good application led by a diverse group with a strong understanding of intersectionality. The need was articulated well with an inclusive definition of survivors. There were some concerns about the size of the organisation and the fact that the project was relying on one individual delivering this. Some financial due diligence concerns were also raised by the Grants Team. Due to the Physical Activity elements, it was suggested that this project will be funded via the Healthier Hackney budget. This application has been recommended on the proviso that the organisation are able to address the due diligence concerns raised by the Grants Team.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it was deemed that this project did not have enough of a Physical Activity element in order to be funded through this programme and therefore the recommendation was withdrawn and there was no additional budget to support this via the Project Grants application.</p>

40	African Community School	The ACS Women's Empowerment Project will provide a holistic training and support project for 30 marginalised women who face economic and financial challenges due to intersectional needs.	£18,830.00	<p>On reserves list but very unlikely we will have the budget to fund</p> <p>Recommended for Reserves List (2nd reserve) (votes: 6/3) Assessors felt that this was a good, user led application supporting a distinct beneficiary group within equality aims with a good intersectional approach. It was looked at in comparison with EFA as they were similar applications and although EFA was a stronger application generally it was felt that this was stronger in terms of track record. The organisation are already in receipt of a CI grant from us.</p> <p>A first vote was taken on this application versus EFA, where EFA came out stronger (8 vs 5 "yes" votes), however a second vote was taken at the end of the panel when assessors discussed having a reserves list. In the unlikely event that any underspend is identified, this application will be second on the reserves list.</p>
----	--------------------------	--	------------	---

LBH CYP & HH Grants Panel Recommendations

Table 1 - recommended applications

Healthier Hackney:

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Avant Garde Dance Company	18 weeks of after-school hip hop dance workshops for young people delivered in Woodberry Down and King's Park	£9,980.00	Recommended (votes: 5/3) This application scored highly. Strong points were that it was reaching a wide range of groups and building the skills of the young people they work with. Due to the nature of this project being around Physical Activity, this application has been funded via the Healthier Hackney Grant - Generic Physical Activity for Young People.
2	Chinuch UK	Developing PE Provision in Charedi schools in Hackney	£36,000.00	Recommended (votes: 6/2) This was the strongest of the OJ schools applications, showing a good reach - targeting more than one school, has those links in with schools. There could have been more on how they will get schools on board and sustainability, and this seems like a new area of work for the organisation. It was agreed to fund this application out of the Healthier Hackney - Provision in Orthodox Jewish Schools category although noted that the organisation have over-bid (£37,500 out of a maximum of £36,000), so only £36,000 of funding will be provided.
3	Hackney Playbus	Weekly outdoor Playbus sessions for children under five and their carers in five of Hackney's neighbourhoods and	£44,908.00	Recommended (votes: 4/3) Assessors scored this highly - outcome measurements clearly outlined and barriers identified. Showed good consultation and engagement, risk and mitigations well covered and budget

		associated outreach to enable us to encourage attendance and engagement from families who are habitually inactive.		clear. More information was asked on the neighbourhoods they were working in, and it was felt that they could have considered adult fitness too i.e. parents alongside. There also could have been more on sustainability and co-design. This was looked at in comparison with the other 3 Family Play applications and voted on accordingly.
4	Salaam Peace Community Engagement Programme	SP Hackney Estates Sports Programme 23/24	£9,120.00	<p>Recommended (votes: 8/1)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a very strong application, very clear on beneficiaries and the need - Good use of MUGA (a priority for the council), and clear re: beneficiaries and involving young people. The project has been funded through the grants programme last year and is also receiving funding from the Resident Participation team, but it was felt that this was a very strong application and stronger in comparison to St Andrew's Youth Development Trust.</p> <p>Due to the nature of this project being around Physical Activity, this application has been funded via the Healthier Hackney Grant - Generic Physical Activity for Young People.</p>

Children & Young People's Fund:

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Head Held High Limited	"The Best You" behaviour-change project x 5 courses for up to 50 young people in Hackney.2h	£19,000.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 6 out of 12)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a strong project and represented good value for money. They felt that the connection to knife crime was particularly relevant and liked the link with the Ben Kinsella Trust.</p>

				There was no time to vote on this application during the panel meeting however by way of voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.
2	The Community Hub	Financial Smarties	£12,862.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 8 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 5/3) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this project had clear outcomes and how these would be measured. The needs were well defined and outlined well, alongside why they were the best to meet them. This was another application focused on Charedi young women but stood out amongst a lot of similar applications (e.g. Teen Action, which it was voted against)</p>
3	Blooming Blossoms Trust	Feel Blue? Go Green!	£18,140.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 5 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a strong application and showed good intersectionality in terms of beneficiary groups (disabled young people from the Orthodox Jewish community).</p> <p>There was no time to vote on this application during the panel meeting however by way of voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p>
4	Young & Inspired Ltd	Flying Colours	£14,202.00	Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 5 out of 12 votes)

				<p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 7/2) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors liked the concept of Art Therapy as a creative way of engaging the young women and SEN disabled and felt that this seemed well thought through. Compared to other applications it was stronger. Assessors did question whether the Art Therapist was qualified as this was included in the budget but not mentioned in the project management section. This application was voted on in comparison with Happy Kids, which was working with a similar beneficiary group, and it was felt that this application was stronger.</p>
5	Diverse Voices Edutainment CIO	<p>'Primary Concern' educates around sensitive issues using a creative approach. Mixing arts based facilitation with digital resources, we address online safety, healthy relationships and transitioning into secondary with 8 Hackney primary schools.</p>	£19,306.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 8 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 8/1) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors liked the online safety focus of this application, and felt that it was well researched with a good risk assessment and step by step project plan. The organisation is experienced but wanting to extend their offer. Assessors did request more clarity on the budget which was provided through clarifying questions.</p>
6	Hoxton Hall	<p>'Acting Out', our new drama group will form a consistent group of 20 young people who will work on the National Theatre Connections program (NT Connections), giving them the</p>	£11,348.60	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 7 out of 12 votes)</p>

		opportunity to perform a new play on the National stage. The NT Connections prog		<p>Assessors felt that this was a very strong application although as it is another theatre-based project should be looked at in conjunction with Arcola Theatre.</p> <p>There was no time to vote on this application during the panel meeting however by way of voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p>
7	STEAMLabs Hackney CIC	“STEAMLabs Clubs4All” is a 3 term-long, creative STEAM (Science Technology, Engineering, Art and Maths) afterschool club for 16 under-represented, pupil premium, children aged 8-10yrs. Weekly term-time sessions will be followed by a four-day holiday club	£10,076.00	<p>Recommended (6 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 5/2) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>This application scored highly, and displayed clear outcomes, clear delivery and good evaluation. Assessors were confident in this organisation and felt that there was a good budget break down.</p>
8	CARIS Camden	Hackney Hostels Enrichment Trips Programme 2023-2024	£18,402.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 5 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 4/3) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that the organisational skills and risk assessment were very strong. The skills of staff members were also particularly strong and showed how they could provide the best outcome for beneficiaries. It was noted that this organisation is not based in Hackney. Although the hostels they will be supporting are. There was also a discussion on</p>

				other sources of funding and discounts already obtained by the organisation.
9	Mouth That Roars Studio	In Focus Film Project: a film & media project for young neurodivergent people to socialise in a non-pressurised, inclusive environment; gain new skills & progression routes and reduce social isolation and anxiety.	£16,800.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 7 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes:) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>This was generally a very strong application all around with support from all assessors. It was felt that good information was provided on management structure, expertise, involving young people and risks. This application was discussed in comparison to Bounce Legacy as the projects are similar. Ultimately it was decided to support Mouth That Roars over Bounce Legacy as the project was more targeted and also longer and represented better value for money.</p>
10	Hackney Quest	Transitions Programme	£12,419.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 8 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 7/2) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this project really highlighted the importance of the pathway for transition between primary and secondary school Involvement of volunteers and the weekly sessions were robust and assessors liked the work with CAHMS, parents and</p>

				children, although the application could have been clearer on specific needs.
11	Settle	The Settle Programme in Hackney - Preventing youth homelessness	£18,000.00	<p>Recommended (5 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 7/2) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a very detailed application, targeting a group not targeted by other projects. They have secured a partnership for referrals from LBH but it was unclear exactly which departments they were working with and whether or not this was funded.</p>
12	Green Schools Project CIC	Zero Carbon Schools	£15,060.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 5 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was a strong project and were very supportive of the environmental aspect - particularly as this has not been addressed in other projects. It was noted that the project is only working in two schools and the organisation is not Hackney based.</p> <p>There was no time to vote on this application during the panel meeting however by way of voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p>
13	Hackney Play Association	To offer a weekly youth session for young people aged 10-16 years at Pearson Street and Homerton Grove Adventure Playground centred around mental health and wellbeing (term time only)	£17,551.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 7 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 8/1) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p>

				Assessors felt that this was a strong application, involving young people, with good links, well planned budget and risks, and an organisation with good experience of funding under other programmes. This organisation received commissioned funding from Young Hackney but this is not enough to cover their programme costs.
14	Arcola Theatre Production Company	Two free theatre training opportunities for 24 young people (18-25). Participants will be trained and mentored to create a play with and for primary school children celebrating Hackney's diverse cultures.	£14,356.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 9 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes:5/4) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was one of the strongest applications, showing previous track record, targeted beneficiaries who would really benefit and a high number of beneficiaries. This application was looked at in comparison to Hackney Shed and it was felt that this application was stronger.</p>
15	The Catalyst Collective Organisation CIC	The Catalyst Mentoring Programme - enrichment and opportunities for Black teenage girls	£6,500.00	<p>Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 8 out of 12 votes)</p> <p>This application was initially Recommended (votes:4/3) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this application showed good evidence of need, and was particularly good value for money as the ask was small. The organisation are only working in one Hackney school although it was explained they are seeking to expand the programme there before working elsewhere. The</p>

			organisation are not Hackney based but they are very much user-led.
--	--	--	---

Table 2 - not recommended applications

	Name	Project Title	Amount	Decision
1	Resolve Now	Free Anti Bullying Programme for Schools	£6,625.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maybe not as strong as other applications but a strong application considering the smaller amount. - Unsure for the need for the powerpoint licence. - Low salary for project management.
2	Teens United	better shape	£11,250.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This projects helps with better futures. - Risk assessment wasn't very detailed in comparison to others but was OK. - Very little info based on the amount they're asking for. Budget breakdown was not very thorough. - Lacking information.
3	Get Set Girls	Empowered & Enriched	£19,976.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Felt similar to other applications but not as strong.
4	camp knak	active together	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Weren't clear on how many children would benefit and the aims and outcomes weren't clear enough.
5	Treasure Sports Club	AFTER SCHOOL BOXING CLUB	£8,550.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Out of borough and did not demonstrate clearly that 80% of their beneficiaries. - Was a good proposal and identified risks well but not clear enough.

6	S Pinter Youth Project	Shoshanim	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Was quite hard to read and was unclear in their language making it hard to understand. - Used a lot of acronyms but were particularly unclear on who these groups were.
7	HASRET COMMUNITY ARTS	Blending Musically	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application was OK but was particularly poor in some places including beneficiary involvement. The budget was also very vague.
8	The Happy And Healthy Trust	Cocoa Chats is a daily breakfast club for 30 beneficiaries (disadvantaged BAME fathers/carers and sons), advancing academic and social development.	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vague answers and unclear budget - Did not make it clear as to how the issue would be addressed. - They did demonstrate the need but there was a lack of detail in risks and how they would reach out to fathers & sons.
9	Up 'N Away	Healthy bodies; Healthy Minds	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unclear outcomes and goals and budgeting was very unclear.
10	Achieved Dreams	Cycling Stronger	£10,166.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This application had some good ideas but lacked detail throughout which meant assessors had a lot of questions on who it would be supporting, how and why, as well as sustainability.
11	Just Kidz London	EXERStrong is a sports, fitness and healthy eating club to support disadvantaged children struggling with obesity.	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The application was not detailed and the plan seemed weak. Compared to others was not strong and did not appear thought through. - Budget was particularly weak and no coproduction
12	Youth Space	Back 2 Basics Bushcrafting camping opportunity	£13,525.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stated particular aims but did not demonstrate how these would be achieved. - Application was particularly poor on the financial request and risk assessment.
13	Just Kidding	Social Station	£12,770.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Project aims and beneficiary groups were very clear. - Needed improvement on staff involvement, logistics and funding rationale. - Good studies to show need but weren't clear on how they would achieve their outcomes.

14	Michael Streete Foundation	Empowering the Future: A Volunteer and Mentorship Programme for Social Inclusion of disadvantaged youth.	£19,333.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Didn't seem very child-focussed - particularly in comparison to other applications. - Not very targeted. Seems like they're trying to do a bit of everything - Budget was not strong but asking for a lot of money.
15	Tropical Isles	Encouraging Emerging Young Talent by focusing on developing young individuals who are most in danger of not fulfilling their full potential and learning skills and experience that lead to a successful and profound life.	£20,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good at building confidence of YP. Mentoring aspect was strong as well. And good programme development. - They should've put more information into their application. Really felt like their application was lacking in a lot of places. - A lot of questions and assumptions
16	AGROFOREP	Removing Vulnerabilities and Enabling Achievement of potentials.	£19,996.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lacked detail throughout. No detail on how they're going to be picking the students, how they're communicating, what exactly they want to do etc. Lots of info but not clear enough. - Overall not very well planned and convincing
17	Social Square (UK)	Our newest project 'New look, new life' will focus on ensuring that children from poverty-stricken families have decent clothing to wear, by providing them with new or nearly-new clothing.	£16,550.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Not a well designed project - Lacking detail and project was generally confusing - Could've been a good idea but missing too many details.
18	The Sapphire Foundation	The Sapphire Housing	£18,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lacked quite a lot of detail and what they wanted to do was confusing. - Organisation seem to have not understood each question and therefore answers were very confusing.
19	The United Goal Ltd	Lifting A Burden	£5,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Every answer was very general. - The idea was good but each section was lacking in details and was not clear.
20	Common Vision UK	Hold This Space in Hackney: Using emotions and imagination to inspire community climate action	£16,000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The application was quite short in comparison to the others. Not as thorough as the others. Don't feel like we know the missing pieces to ask them. - Good equalities focus.

				- In some of the answers there wasn't much information given, but on others there was a lot and it was very thorough. Probably not detailed enough.
21	Hackney Children and Baby Bank (originally named the E5 Baby Bank)	Advocacy and partnerships for Hackney families	£20,000.00	- Good project which would benefit the community but service delivery lacking a lot of detail. Many strands but not enough detail. - Good project rather than good application. - Seems more core funding than project
22	Fun4kids	Cook with me	£4,890.00	- Scored lowly compared to Eat Club, which was a similar project. - A general lack of details throughout the project
23	Youth on the Move (London)	Aiming Higher	£33,333.00	- This application was weak, particularly compared to others. It was lacking in detail throughout and did not seem sustainable. - Lacking information on co-design and how it was addressing barriers to physical activity.
24	YOH Ltd	I'm ok! - Mental Health Support within a Youth Work Setting [South Hackney]	£17,370.00	- Vague in what they were asking for and what they would deliver. - Concerns that they had 1 clinician for the 24 up who they wanted
25	Peer UK Ltd	Peer Ambassadors and Little Ambassadors	£14,000.00	- The budget was confusing and did not align to the project. - Their working with young people did not feel fully realised and needed more developing.
26	Hackney Rugby Football Club	RUGBY4FUN	£17,100.00	- Application lacking in detail throughout. Although a good beneficiary group, no evidence of track record or clear sense of what would be delivered.
27	Los Campos FC	Los Campos FC Assistant Manager Programme for Young People in Hackney	£10,800.00	- Overall aim is lacking in specific outcomes and what success looks like. - They were brief on specifics and light on information compared to other applications.

28	Beis Yaakov Girls School	Project Name: "Fit & Faithful: Enhancing Physical Activity at Beis Yaakov Girls School"	£37,500.00	- Application was good - but really expensive for one non-public school to benefit - not value for money to fund just one school
29	Talmud Torah London	Move and Groove: A Fun and Engaging Physical Education Project for Students	£37,500.00	- This idea was good but the application lacked a lot of detail throughout and could have been more child-focused - Lots of questions about the budget and seemed particularly expensive in comparison to other applications.
30	Resolve Now	Free Anti Bullying Programme for Schools	£6,625.00	- Maybe not as strong as other applications but a strong application considering the smaller amount. - Unsure for the need for the powerpoint licence. - Low salary for project management.
31	Refreshing Minds	Bright from the Start: An 8-day summer holiday scheme for 300 disadvantaged Charedi girls.	£20,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
32	Caramel Rock	Fashioning Hackney	£15,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications, and there were serious due diligence concerns, and was therefore not discussed at panel.
33	Artburst Ltd	Children achieving Creatively: will offer communication focussed creative education for some of the most disadvantaged children in Hackney.	£12,420.00	This application was initially Recommended (votes: 4/3) at panel but assessors were asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended. Assessors felt that this was a strong application, with points were well answered. Delivery, staff skills and evaluation were very strong. The project was funded last year and delivered successfully (although with less beneficiaries than projected). It was noted that the organisation is not Hackney based and not user-led).

				Not Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: (1 out of 12 votes)
34	Goldstar Creative Marketing	Stepping Up: Supporting Charedi young women in Hackney in their journey to secure and sustain good quality jobs	£20,000.00	<p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 6/3) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended.</p> <p>This application showed a clear need, linked clearly to outcomes, and addressed how this would be met and why they were the ones to meet this. The target beneficiaries group is young women in the Charedi community, which was also a target group of many of the other applications, although it was felt that this was providing something different by focussing on employability.</p> <p>Not recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 4 out of 12 votes)</p>
35	Hackney Shed Limited	Inclusive weekly theatre workshops for children and young people in Hackney	£14,640.00	Assessors liked that this project was hosting inclusive workshops and could see that the funding was important for the group, with a focus on SEN and access, integrating provision for all. The organisation has a good track record, and the application was detailed re: risk, planning, budget and project narrative. This application was looked at in comparison to Arcola Theatre as it was felt that they were delivering similar projects. Ultimately it was decided to support Arcola instead.
36	Westward Inner-city Sport & Education (wise) Youth Trust	SISTA ACT	£20,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.

37	Abram Wilson Foundation for the Creative Arts	Future Sound Experience: helping young people improve well being and reach their creative potential through music	£10,000.00	<p>This application was initially tied (votes: 3/3) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this was strong in many aspects and had secured match finding. It is a creative project for young people but did not have a huge amount of support at panel as it felt like a nice to have rather than a needed project.</p> <p>Not recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 3 out of 12 votes)</p>
38	The Ministry of Stories	Word count stopping before 50 words. I have included the project summary at the start of the project description in section 2.4.	£20,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
39	Teen Action	Get Set Go - A multi-dimensional Independent Living Program for 30 disadvantage adolescents, that'll provide them with the knowledge and skills to make healthy & stable choices when moving to independent living, making them more resilient and independent.	£16,590.00	Assessors felt that this application was very detailed and it was obvious the organisation did their research and clear evidence of previous work. Really strong risk section with stats. There could have been some more detail on the structure of the project - when, what days etc., and also further clarity on the beneficiaries (is it young women leaving home? Preparing for marriage?). Ultimately this application was looked at in comparison with The Community Hub as they were reaching a similar target group and providing financial literacy support. It was felt that The Community Hub was a stronger project to support.
40	H.O.P.E.	HOPE IN THE COMMUNITY	£18,064.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications. The organisation is already in receipt of Core Funding via the Community Infrastructure programme and was therefore not discussed at panel.

41	Hackney Jedis Basketball Club	Hackney Girls' Basketball Growth & Empowerment	£19,952.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
42	Vision Ability CIC	Accessibility workshops in schools	£4,900.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
43	Circle Community Limited	Back Your Future Hackney	£20,000.00	<p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 8/0) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this project had good structure, and the organisation showed good skills and experience of staff. Generally the application was lacking details on delivery (e.g. how many days a week etc.) clarification questions were asked but no response was received.</p> <p>Not recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 3 out of 12 votes)</p>
44	FUTURE CHALLENGES - UK	ARTS GALLERY IN THE AIR-To activate the youth arts community in HACKNEY particular for those living in "Hoxton&its surrounding areas", by hosting a first season of 26 art exhibitions that showcase History&Heritage designed by local youth Fine-art painters	£4,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications (particularly as they had made a large mistake with their application amount) and was therefore not discussed at panel.
45	New Future Collective Ltd	FUTUREHEADS – an action-packed creative summer project for 8-13s living in and around Brownswood.	£10,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications. The organisation is already in

				receipt of Core Funding via the Community Infrastructure programme and was therefore not discussed at panel.
46	STORE SCHOOLS & PROJECTS CIC	Architecture and design two week summer school	£12,575.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications, and there were serious due diligence concerns, and was therefore not discussed at panel.
47	Eat Club Limited	Eat Club cooks in Hackney: 10 Eat Club courses in Hackney across 2023/2024 improving young peoples' physical, mental, and social wellbeing and increasing their employability prospects.	£9,216.00	Well run and unique project. Some clarifying questions were asked which were answered. The application spoke about partners but it was flagged that they put YH hubs down which relevant LBH staff were unaware of. The same provision is funded elsewhere by the council and therefore it was felt that this application should not be prioritised to receive funding.
48	Skyway Charity	SkyWay Transitions	£20,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications. The organisation is already in receipt of Core Funding via the Community Infrastructure programme and was therefore not discussed at panel.
49	Odd Eyes Theatre	Creative Debate 2023-24: Hackney young people share their opinion through drama and film	£2,834.74	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
50	The Garden Classroom	Little Explorers - Free, drop-in, messy nature play sessions for under 5s, their families and carers.	£20,565.00	Assessors felt that this was a good concept, with an engaging premise. However, the organisation is not Hackney based or user-led and Assessors felt it could have gone further with building in physical activity throughout the application. This was looked at in comparison with the other 3 Family Play applications and voted on accordingly.
51	Hackney Co-operative Developments	Gillett Square Family Play	£44,940.00	This application was clear on aims and how and where it would be delivered. The organisation has previous experience of delivering. There could have been more on targeting specific

	Community Interest Company			groups and addressing barriers. There were also some concerns around risk and safeguarding. This was weaker in comparison to the other Family Play applications. This was looked at in comparison with the other 3 Family Play applications and voted on accordingly.
52	Drum Works	Drum Works at The Garden School in Hackney: providing drumming workshops for children with a diagnosis of autism and severe learning difficulties.	£10,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
53	The Huddleston Centre in Hackney	This project will provide fun and creative learning user-led social youth club activities for 24 disabled children and young people (11-25years) to socialise, explore and develop their passions and skills whilst giving respite to their families/carers.	£20,000.00	<p>This application was initially Not Recommended (votes: 3/4) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended.</p> <p>This application generally scored well. There were some questions around the budget which were incorrect but these have now been clarified. Working with a target beneficiary group in need.</p> <p>Not Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 4 out of 12 votes)</p>
54	Happy Kids	'Triumphant-Troopers' will provide weekly therapy group sessions, to 144 deprived children(aged 5-11) displaying emotional ill-health. Cost free sessions will empower participants to come through Cost-Of-Living crisis stronger and more resilient.	£9,777.50	This project covers a wide age and beneficiary group.Risk assessment was particularly strong and the application was particularly clear on their project management, was well researched, and showed a good age range. The application lacked some information as to why the organisation is best placed to deliver the project. Has been funded though hackney giving recently This application was very similar in terms of content and beneficiary group to Young & Inspired and therefore they were voted in comparison to each other, with Young & Inspired receiving more votes.

55	Activiteens	Be Wise, Economise	£19,304.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
56	Mimbre Ltd	Mimbre Youth uses acrobatics, dance and public performances to build confidence, friendships and well-being. We centre inclusion, diversity and representation to build a community where young people and their families can feel a strong sense of belonging.	£10,040.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
57	YOUTH STEP -TO-SUCCESS (Y-STS Project)	Train to Gain: 21 identified disadvantaged young people (including ex-offender) to access further employment skill leading to secure permanent job position.	£7,080.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
58	The Boiler House Community Space	At Northwold Youth Hub we will run a series of group activities, workshops and trips and 1:1 support sessions, which address the Mental, Physical & Environmental factors that create barriers to young people realising their full potential.	£19,966.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications. The organisation is already in receipt of Core Funding via the Community Infrastructure programme and was therefore not discussed at panel.
59	Lev Echod Cancer Care	Milk and Honey Cancer Lounge	£13,560.00	This application is providing care to a range of people in a therapeutic space. It had detailed outcomes and risk assessment, staff are qualified, have a steering group so user led. However, the application did not have enough detail within the budget, and was confusing as a lot of activities mentioned
60	Outdoor People	Wild Walks, Beyond the School Gate - supporting families to get outside and play every day.	£30,600.00	This was a high scoring project for the Family Play HH category.rationale was clear - strong on achievements and objectives, measurement of success, and learnings were

				<p>covered, management, risks, number of beneficiaries good, children and adults, budget</p> <p>The application could have been stronger on sustainability and co-design needs, and assessors would like to have see playstreets referenced as well.</p> <p>This was looked at in comparison with the other 3 Family Play applications and voted on accordingly.</p>
61	Lets Take Off Ltd	WE'RE IN IT TOGETHER	£9,955.00	<p>Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications, and there were serious due diligence concerns, and was therefore not discussed at panel.</p>
62	Shema Koli	Project Shield: to develop and deliver culturally sensitive abuse-prevention programmes in independent orthodox Jewish (Charedi) schools in Hackney	£19,450.00	<p>This application was initially Recommended (votes: 5/4) and then Not Recommended (votes: 4/3) at panel but assessors have been asked to re-vote on all shortlisted applications. After re-voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended.</p> <p>Assessors felt that this is an important area of work, user led and co-produced, focusing on schools as can access a wide reach of people, will address a language a barrier, good planning, seem to have community trust, good clarifications received</p> <p>It is noted that the organisation is not based in Hackney. Issues such as safeguarding and their partnerships with international organisations could have been clearer, although satisfactory answers were provided in their clarifying questions.</p> <p>Not Recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 4 out of 12 votes)</p>

63	BOUNCE LEGACY CIC	A 3 month film school for young people (16-25) from underrepresented backgrounds who live in Hackney. The programme includes a series of filmmaking workshops, mentoring with filmmakers and artists, CV workshops, screenings and events.	£12,500.00	Assessors felt that this was a creative project, with young people taken out of their comfort zone. The application highlighted their connections and it was felt that this was something different and clearly thought through. Although this was initially recommended, it was removed in favour of Mouth That Roars which delivers similar activities but over a longer period of time and more focused on young neurodiverse people.
64	Step By Step London	Stay 'n' Play	£20,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
65	Voyage	Graduate Youth Leadership programme	£20,000.00	Assessors felt that this was a strong project that was very targeted and specific and that the organisation had a good track record. There was no time to vote on this application during the panel meeting however by way of voting by category (via google form) this application was not recommended. Not recommended (in post panel google form. Votes: 4 out of 12 votes)
66	Sunbeams London Ltd	Keyworker Service - Complex Needs Support for 12 OJ adolescents	£20,000.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for the panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.
67	YBY Learning Ltd	Empowering Global Majority young people in their limitless choices.	£19,765.00	Despite being initially shortlisted for panel, it was felt that this application was lacking information and was weaker in comparison to other applications and was therefore not discussed at panel.

